#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Philip W. MILLER et al.

Appln. No.: 09/692,257

Filed: October 19, 2000

00000113,20

Confirmation No.: 7102

Art Unit:

1637

Examiner:

Joyce Tung

Attv. Docket:

16517.297

Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Patent Application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)

Nucleic Acid Molecules and Other Molecules Associated with Plants

Attn: Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

For:

Applicants hereby petition for revival of the above-captioned application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b). Authorization to charge the official fees for this petition is given in the accompanying transmittal letter.

A final Office Action was mailed to Applicants on March 18, 2004, setting a six-month period for reply. An Amendment After Final Rejection and a Notice of Appeal from the Examiner to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences are included herewith.

In view of the above, Applicants assert that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional, and respectfully request that the application be revived.

In the event that extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned. Applicants do not believe that any fees, other than those provided for in the accompanying transmittal letter, are due in

conjunction with this filing. However, if any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17 are required in the present application, including any fees for extensions of time, authorization is given in the accompanying transmittal letter to charge such fees to counsel's deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 26, 2005

Of Counsel:

Lawrence M. Lavin, Jr. (Reg. No. 30,768) Thomas E. Kelley (Reg. No. 29,938)

Correspondence Address:

Monsanto Company Patent Department E2NA 800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63167

Tel: 314-694-1000 Fax: 314-694-9009 Thomas E. Holsten (Reg. No. 46,098) David R. Marsh (Reg. No. 41,408)

Arnold & Porter LLP 555 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Tel: 202-942-5000 Fax: 202-942-5999



In re patent application of:

Confirmation No.: 7102

Philip W. MILLER et al.

Art Unit: 1637

Appl. No.: 09/692,257

Examiner: Joyce Tung

Filed: October 19, 2000

Atty. Docket: 16517.297

For: Nucleic Acid Molecules and Other Molecules Associated with Plants

## Notice of Appeal from the Examiner to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants hereby appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the decision of the Examiner mailed March 18, 2004, that resulted in Applicants having claims that have been twice or finally rejected.

Authorization to charge the official fee for this filing is given in the accompanying transmittal letter.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 26, 2005

Thomas E. Holsten (Reg. No. 46,098) David R. Marsh (Reg. No. 41,408)

Of Counsel:

Lawrence M. Lavin, Jr. (Reg. No. 30,768) Thomas E. Kelley (Reg. No. 29,938)

555 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Arnold & Porter LLP

Tel: 202-942-5000 Fax: 202-942-5999

<u>Correspondence Address</u>: Monsanto Company

Monsanto Company Patent Department E2NA 800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63167

Tel: 314-694-1000 Fax: 314-694-9009

x: 314-694-9009

01/28/2005 MGEBREM1 00000022 502387 09692257

02 FC:1401

500.00 DA

# TES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Confirmation No.: 7102

In re Patent Application of:

Philip W. MILLER et al. Art Unit: 1637

Appln. No.: 09/692,257

Filed: October 19, 2000

Examiner: Joyce Tung Atty. Docket: 16517.297/38-21(15771)B

Title: Nucleic Acid Molecules and Other Molecules Associated with Plants

#### AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed March 18, 2004 ("Final Action"), Applicants submit the following amendments and remarks.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims that begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 3 of this paper.

Philip W. MILLER *et al.* Appln. No.: 09/692,257

Page 2

#### IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that encodes a maize protein or fragment thereof comprising a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or its complement.

#### 2-7 (Cancelled)

- 8. (Previously Presented) A substantially purified nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or its complement.
- 9. (Previously Presented) The substantially purified nucleic acid molecule according to claim 1, wherein said nucleic acid molecule consists of a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or its complement.
- 10. (Previously Presented) A substantially purified first nucleic acid molecule comprising a fragment from about 50 to about 100 nucleotide residues, wherein said fragment exhibits complete complementarity to a second nucleic acid molecule having a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1 and its complement.
- 11. (Previously Presented) The substantially purified first nucleic acid molecule according to claim 10, wherein said first nucleic acid molecule consists of said fragment.
- 12. (Previously Presented) The substantially purified first nucleic acid molecule according to claim 10, wherein said substantially purified nucleic acid molecule further comprises a region having a single nucleotide polymorphism.

Philip W. MILLER *et al.* Appln. No.: 09/692,257

Page 3

13. (Previously Presented) A substantially purified nucleic acid molecule comprising a fragment nucleic acid molecule, wherein said fragment consists of about 50 to about 100 nucleotide residues of the nucleic acid molecule of SEQ ID NO: 1 or its complement.

Philip W. MILLER *et al.* Appln. No.: 09/692,257

Page 4

#### Remarks

By this amendment, claim 1 has been amended. Following entry of the foregoing amendment claims 1 and 8-13 will be pending in the application. Support for the amended claim may be found throughout the specification and in the original claims. No new matter is added by these amendments.

The present Amendment clarifies issues on appeal and does not present new matter. Further, it is submitted that the present Amendment does not raise new issues requiring further search and consideration by the Examiner. As such, entry of the foregoing amendment is respectfully requested.

Philip W. MILLER et al. Appln. No.: 09/692,257 Page 5

#### Conclusion

Applicants believe that the present Amendment is in proper condition for entry in this application. The Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned should any additional information be necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: <u>January 26, 2005</u>

Of Counsel Lawrence M. Lavin, Jr. (Reg. No. 30,768) Thomas E. Kelley (Reg. No. 29,938) Monsanto Company

Correspondence Address: Monsanto Company Patent Department E2NA 800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard Mailzone E2NA St. Louis, Missouri 63167 Thomas E. Holsten (Reg. No. 46,098) David R. Marsh (Reg. No. 41,408)

ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Attn: IP Docketing 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1206 202.942.5000 telephone 202.942.5999 facsimile

# DASA

### ARNOLD & PORTER LLP

Thomas E. Holsten
Thomas\_Holsten@aporter.com

202.942.5085 202.942.5999 Fax

555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1206



Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Art Unit: 1637 Examiner: Joyce Tung Conf. No.: 7102

Re:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/692,257 filed October 19, 2000

January 26, 2005

Inventors: Philip W. MILLER et al.

Title: Nucleic Acid Molecules and Other Molecules Associated with Plants

Atty. Dkt: 16517.297

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for appropriate action by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) are the following documents:

- 1. Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Patent Application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b);
- 2. Notice of Appeal from the Examiner to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences;
- 3. Amendment After Final Rejection; and
- 4. Return postcard.

It is respectfully requested that the attached postcard be stamped with the date of filing of these documents, and that it be returned to our courier.

Authorization is hereby given to charge \$2,000.00 (the statutory fee of \$1,500.00 for filing a Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Patent Application, and \$500.00 for filing a Notice of Appeal) to Arnold & Porter LLP Deposit Account No. 50-2387, referencing docket number 16517.297. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.

In the event that extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned. Applicants do not believe any additional fees are due in conjunction with this filing. However, if any fees are required in the present application, including any fees for extensions of time, then the Commissioner is hereby

## ARNOLD & PORTER LLP

Commissioner for Patents January 26, 2005 Page 2

authorized to charge such fees to Arnold & Porter LLP Deposit Account No. 50-2387, referencing docket number 16517.297.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas E. Holsten (Reg. No. 46,098)

**Enclosures**